

## ACRL-OR Board meeting

DATE : 12-9-16	LOCATION: Virtual	REVIEWED BY
PRESENT: Stephanie Debner, Aja Bettencourt-McCarthy, Arlene Weible, Jennifer Cox, Elizabeth Brookbank, Garrett Trott, Steve Silver, Uta Hussong-Christian, Kate Rubick; John Schoppert; Molly Gunderson. Sara Robertson and Candice Watkins from ILAGO joined at 2:00.		

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
Welcome and Intros		
Changes to agenda	No changes	
Approval of Sept 2016 minutes	Motion to approve: Garrett Trott, Kate Rubick second. Approved unanimously.	<b>Steve send approved minutes to the communication coordinator</b>
Legislative update	John Schopert: ALA national library legislative day is May 1-2, 2017. Mary Kay (Oregon State Librarian) wants to know by Jan 30 if ACRL-OR will sponsor someone to go. Uta: ACRL-OR sponsors only once every two years, so not this year. OLA legislative day changed from March 1 to Feb 21. Point of interest for anyone who would like to come. State legislature: Democrats continue to hold the majority.	
Award for Excellence criteria	Proposed changes were sent via email prior to meeting. Dan White (OLA Parliamentarian): We can do a member vote with our board elections ballot in spring (i.e., so we don't have to send out a separate ballot to members to vote on this change to our by-laws). OLA does not need to approve the by-law amendments, but it does need to be brought to them, as an FYI and so they can formally have the Parliamentarian make the changes in the by-laws document (since all of our chapter by-laws are a subset of the OLA ones). Uta: Any group/individual in Oregon may apply or be nominated. Uta: Current language supports awarding every other year, if we choose to do that, so no need to change the language. Motion to accept proposed changes: Uta, Kate second. Approved unanimously, including the "possible addition" (Individuals or groups that include at least one employee of an academic library may be given priority consideration)  The OLA by-laws stipulate a minimum time period prior to an election that information must be shared with the membership.	<b>Stephanie send board-approved changes to Dan White. Include with ballot info for elections in the spring Stephanie will investigate OLA's required timeline for posting info prior to the election</b>
Dec OLA board meeting report	Migrating Memberclicks to new platform from classic. Mostly back-end changes. Hope to be done early Jan. Registration opens for OLA conference the (will need back end forms, etc.). New website will resize for different devices Conference: new platform will allow info for reduced conference registration and provide	<b>Stephanie will send list of senatorial aides, and post it to google docs Uta: Draft blog post re: De Vos appointment to post at the time of</b>

	<p>sign up for OLA membership at same time  Emily David is conference program chair. Programs looking good. 7 or 8 pre-conferences, but several are half day. Asking for volunteers to bring projectors. Let Emily David know <a href="mailto:olaprograms@olaweb.org">olaprograms@olaweb.org</a>, or Michele Burke  Registration for additional events will be as separately ticketed events. Saturday events will be in the \$30-40 range. Trying to keep these sessions affordable for OASL members. Saturday attendee maximum is 250  OLA was targeted in a phishing scam. Money was paid out. OLA will be tightening financial disbursement procedures. Lewis &amp; Clark also receiving lots of phishing emails. Email scams are looking very sophisticated  Money left over from old Portals, housed at PCC. OLA will use money to create Leadership Institute. Jane put out request for committee members. Portals was a professional group of libraries in Portland area. Both academic and public? (from Arlene). Was not affiliated with OLA. OLA creating a MOU with PCC. Funds must be used for prof development. MOU includes timelines for disbursement of certain amounts. Total of ~\$50,000.  PSU press will become the home of Oregon authors. Working on agreement with OSU Group rate tickets to OSU basketball games are available. Contact Elsa  Steve asked about responding to De Vos appointment as Secretary of Education. Stephanie brought this to the OLA board. It's okay to respond since it is an appointment, not an election. Could do organizational letter. Mary Kay said more useful to have members contact their senators. Jane sent list of senatorial aides. More effective. Contact ALA national to get their lobbyist on it. Arlene: ALA embroiled in controversy due to comments on incoming administration. Best time is during confirmation hearings, and address members of the committee. Oregon's legislators not likely to need convinced. Do a blog post at that time</p>	<p><b>the hearings.</b></p>
<p>ACRL-Idaho request</p>	<p>Idaho recently formed their own state chapter. Over 100 members. Approached OR/WA regarding the possibility of a tri-state conference, or perhaps a conference or meeting in West Idaho or East Washington or Oregon. Maybe in summer so does not compete. Hosted solely by ACRL-ID or in collaboration with Oregon/Washington chapters.</p> <p>Board engaged in general discussion and brainstorming.</p> <p>Moving to a three conference rotation emerged as a strong idea. Having Idaho sponsor a summer conference is a worthwhile idea as well (travel over cascades easier in summer) Should have Molly (Idaho chapter president) do some venue research, based on typical Pack Forest/Menuca costs.</p> <p>Oregon Board does not have the bandwidth to take on organization of another event. A three year rotation could benefit all three chapters. One entire year is involved in conference planning, leaving only every other year for intensive work on other projects and initiatives. A three year rotation would create more time for this more intensive work on other projects</p>	<p><b>Stephanie will take our notes/thoughts back to Molly from ACRL-Idaho, and be sure Molly has been invited to the next ACRL-OR/WA joint conference.</b></p>
<p>Menucha debrief (Uta)</p>		

<p>a. General debrief</p>	<p>Needs to go over the budget yet. Brief review of overall income is a couple thousand over what was budgeted, so should be a positive balance.  94 registered attendees (including presenters). Few no-shows, so high 80s attendance. One of best attendance numbers of recent conferences. It helped having local presenters and starting registration early. Poster session/wine cheese session was a smash hit. Limit to no more than 12 posters if using Creevey; not enough room. Lots of positive comments  Some feedback about moving the party to Creevey. More intimate venue. Separated from residential quarters, noise issues. John: OER pre-conference was really good. Uta: disappointed that except for Wright hall, requested room set ups were not set up in advance. Menucha's communication contact went on vacation the week of the conference. This communication breakdown was communicated to Menucha staff. They waived a fee in response. Complaints were received of a bad smell or air quality in Ballard. Board members had additional details to share about those complaints, which Uta can share with Menucha. Stephanie: all the housing options are on the contract for next time. Many of them are further away, and may not have as much room.  Have dropped one housing option from previous conferences to help with costs  Creevey location for posters was very crowded for at least some. Limit to 8-10 could make it more competitive. (Only left a couple out this year. By contrast the short talks had around a 50% acceptance rate.)  Elizabeth: Twitter debrief: felt successful. Nothing previous to compare it to, and no sophisticated tools to gather Twitter data. Conference month saw 20 new followers (usually 3-4 per month), and 12,700 impressions (normally 2800-900). Most popular tweet was all the beer in the back of her car. Elizabeth monitored closely for negative comments. None regarding the Ballard smell. Otherwise no real negatives. One person not connected with ACRL commented on hypocrisy of OER pre-conference at a paid conference. Elizabeth opted to not officially respond. After some investigation this seemed more of an ideological issue with ACRL national for this person.</p>	<p><b>Uta: contact Menucha regarding the Ballard smell, with additional details shared during the board meeting</b></p>
<p>b. Data gathered on projects-how ACRL could help</p>	<p>Attachment: spreadsheet containing all the comments from the final activity asking for ideas on how ACRL-OR or WA could help support or promote innovation and new ideas. The spreadsheet is also in Google drive.  Grouped according to general themes: Communication issues, projects, blog series.  Ideas to consider: Have a hands-on workshop as part of the conference (related to ILAGO conference idea). Might mean having 2 tracks for conference sessions.  Incubator workshops  Intentional/guided reflection time—not sure about it. Don't really have time for it in such a short conference. Maybe a less formal wrap up time? Or disburse in 5 minutes chunks throughout the conference? Those leaving early may be disturbing those doing the activity. Observed that during the Friday morning activity, those who finished immediately started talking, disturbing those still trying to finish. A small group activity could incorporate reflection time. These suggestions came in before the final small group activity actually happened, which may have addressed the concern to some extent.  60 people sent in reflections to be reminded. High participation rate.  After the letters are sent out, have someone(s) write a blog post(s). Ties into other blog</p>	<p><b>Uta: Send email to all those getting envelopes to invite them to do a personal reflection on the blog. Arlene can provide attendee list if needed.</b>  <b>Uta send envelopes with reflection statements to attendees.</b>  <b>Uta: Create Google Form and include link to gather shorter responses, and a checkbox if interested in writing a longer post</b>  <b>All: Seek innovations at own or other institutions to blog about. Be more proactive in encouraging other libraries to share innovations or items of interest on the blog.</b></p>

	<p>series suggestions. We should also do a blog post of a lot of shorter reflections. Could be easier to post to Twitter.</p> <p>These results were also sent to ACRL-WA.</p> <p>Two events related suggestions: site visits, or library “crawl.” Could combine with a blog post (Kate’s visit got such good response). After renovations is a good time. Virtual tours are great for East side librarians. Start series of posts of virtual visits? Encourage all of us to document any visits to sister libraries.</p> <p>Expert bureau ideas; SWAT team idea. Feels like speaker bureau idea has been tried and never seems to gain traction. Libs-OR posts fill much of this need perhaps?</p> <p>Suggestion came from WA that does not have advantage of Libs-OR. Offer to ACRL-WA that our Libs-OR is a great communication tool. SWAT team idea also came up during members meeting. Quick strike projects. Seems logistically challenging.</p> <p>Regularly scheduled Twitter chats could address this? Elizabeth needs to get a handle on the amount of work involved, but likes the idea. Uta's colleague Kelly McElroy (OSU) does this-may be worth a conversation with her.</p> <p>Section related to communication: Are people just being lazy? Good tools exist, at least in Oregon. Request to communicate innovations more often. Really difficult to get libraries to share anything. More specific questions or invitations may work better. Board be more intentional in highlighting innovative projects we’re aware of. Common problems/hot topic ideas (e.g. Fake news – Info Lit).</p>	
<p>c. Data gathered from members meeting</p>	<p>Stephanie: a lot of cross-over with ideas shared in final small group activity (above). Outreach, integration of other regions of the state. Add to the scholarship criteria coming from an underrepresented region. How to define?</p> <p>Advocacy in leadership. On Stephanie’s goal list. Define what it means, for different audiences, develop more consistent positions/procedures. Advocacy page on web site cannot be found through navigation; have to know the url. Provide some links; include additional content. Pull responses from directors series questions about advocacy into one page. Shows range of definitions. Stephanie: get more directors from bigger schools. Might get different responses</p> <p>Good ideas. Matter of prioritizing. Does not necessarily have to be within next year. Connect to strategic goals, OLA strategic plan.</p>	<p><b>Uta: do interview with Faye Chadwell</b></p> <p><b>Steve contact UO director for blog post interview</b></p> <p><b>Steve do an interview as director at NCU</b></p> <p><b>Arlene: contact director at PSU, or Molly may be a better contact.</b></p> <p><b>Arlene: new Willamette director</b></p>
<p>d. Menucha 2018</p>	<p>No specific discussion</p>	
<p>Goals 2016-17</p>	<p>Update web page: 10 reasons to become a member</p> <p>Advocacy: draft a guiding document? Similar to LLDC legislative agenda document. Advocacy efforts have been ad hoc up to now. Is there advocacy that is more an academic libraries issue than general libraries?</p> <p>Need a process for issues requiring a quick turnaround time. Guidelines for what the President can simply do vs. what the board needs to provide input or approval.</p> <p>If others request our input or support on statements or stances it would be helpful to have a statement/page to point to.</p> <p>Stephanie will review drafts of notes from this meeting and other notes and create a draft version of official goals/action items for the year, then send to board for review. Use that document to prioritize. Putting it on Google docs would allow for comments</p>	<p><b>Stephanie review drafts of notes from this meeting and other notes and create a draft version of official goals/action items for the year, then send to board for review and post to Google docs.</b></p> <p><b>Use that document to prioritize.</b></p> <p><b>Board: begin planning a pre-conference for 2018 OLA conference.</b></p>

	Uta: Professional development has come up often. Develop a pre-conference for 2018 OLA conference? Deadline is always 30 days after new board starts, which is an awkward time to plan a pre-conference Getting planning started now will be easier for conference planning in off-conference year.	
ILAGO assessment project: Sara Robertson, guest, project lead	<p>Sara Robertson and Candice Watkins from ILAGO joined the meeting: ILAGO is embarking on a project to develop a metacognitive self-assessment of research strategies for novice researchers that can be used in concert with (and not to replace) college-specific assessments of student learning. ILAGO's initial goal is to build a team, find a lead researcher to guide the study design and analysis, and seek funding to carry out the work.</p> <p>They are looking to the model provided by <a href="#">The Metacognitive Awareness of Reading Strategies Inventory</a> (MARS). This inventory functions as both a teaching tool and a pre-assessment of student's self-reported strategies.</p> <p>The MARS was validated through a qualitative study (<a href="#">linked here</a>) with an iterative design approach. The researchers took a large set of reading strategy statements generated by Reading faculty and, through a few rounds of student testing for validity, pared them down to a manageable 30 statements in a one-page list. It also groups the strategy statements by type so that areas of learning can be identified.</p> <p>Next steps are to contact Project Information Literacy and similar organizations like ACRL-OR for information sharing and potential partnership opportunities.</p> <p>This is a growing movement, especially in community colleges. Has resonated well with faculty at Tacoma Community College. Students engage with disciplinary content better. ILAGO is looking for input, reflections.</p> <p>2 opportunities to be involved: generate statements, and qualitative review of the actual statements' usefulness. Study will result in development of an assessment tool they will share widely.</p> <p>Uta: Blog post? Sara: When the project coalesces a bit more would be great. ILAGO wants a small leadership team. Info sharing with ACRL-OR.</p> <p>Stephanie created a blog post, don't know if posted yet or not. Sara has not seen it yet.</p>	<b>Sara/Stephanie: Post to ACRL-OR blog</b>
Board volunteer upcoming work		
a. ACRL-OR reception at OLA		<b>Arlene and Garrett start working on options, etc.</b>
b. Nominating committee	<p>Come new year start thinking about recruiting and getting process going. Steve, Molly, Arlene</p> <p>Got a couple positions sorted that were off-track. Have some more to do. I. e. probably some one year appointments.</p> <p>Uta has document on Google Drive.</p>	<b>Steve – familiarize with documentation, get Nomination Committee meeting scheduled Stephanie work with Steve to sort positions not yet on track. Uta will find her doc and post to Steve/Stephanie</b>
Additional items of interest	<p>OLA conference programs work has been completed for ACRL-OR</p> <p>Should hear back about ACRL roadshow program mid-month.</p>	<b>Stephanie will let everyone know</b>

	Do we know how many applicants?	<b>once she hears Stephanie will ask Emily if we know how many applicants</b>
	Uta thanked everyone, especially Aja who had "trial by fire" as new communication coordinator. Keep possibility of all-virtual meeting for Feb if weather is bad.	
<b>Next Meeting</b>	Adjourned 2:40pm.	